

Pergamon Tetrahedron Letters 43 (2002) 1923–1925

TETRAHEDRON LETTERS

## **Synthesis of substituted 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran in a process involving a facile acyl migration**

Wen-Sen Li,\* Zhenrong Guo, John Thornton, Kishta Katipally, Richard Polniaszek, John Thottathil, Truc Vu and Michael Wong

*Process Research and Development*, *Bristol*-*Myers Squibb Pharmaceutical Research Institute*, *One Squibb Drive*, *New Brunswick*, *NJ* 08903, *USA*

Received 31 May 2001; accepted 17 January 2002

**Abstract—**Reduction of 2,6-diacetoxy-2-bromoacetophenone (**10**) with NaBH4 led to 3,4-diacetoxydihydrobenzofuran (**12**) in a process involving acyl migration followed by cyclization. Subsequent hydrogenolysis gave 4-acetoxydihydrobenzofuran which, upon saponification, afforded 4-hydroxydihydrobenzofuran (**8**) in good yield. This approach is shown to be a general method for preparation of substituted dihydrobenzofurans. © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

We demonstrated previously that 4-hydroxydihydrobenzofuran (**8**) could be attained by Parham cycloalkylation<sup>1</sup> of the appropriate brominated resorcinol derivative. While simple and practical for preparing small amounts of material, this synthesis was not amenable for large scale preparation due to the need for excess *n*-butyl lithium under cryogenic conditions. As a potentially more efficient synthesis of phenol **8**, we chose to investigate deoxygenation of 4-hydroxybenzofuran-3-one (**3**).2

steps (Scheme 1). Persilylation, followed by treatment with *N*-bromosuccinimide and then NaOH, afforded the desired compound in 93% overall yield. Unfortunately, when this material was subjected to hydrogenolysis in the presence of Pd–C, over-reduction to 6 and 7 was a major problem with little or no formation of the desired **8** being observed. Alternatively, reduction of **3** with  $N$ a $BH$ <sub>4</sub> gave a mixture of 4 and 5, with the latter as the major product.

Synthesis of 4-hydroxybenzofuran-3-one (**3**) from 2,6 dihydroxyacetophenone (**1**) was accomplished in two

It was felt that diacetate **12** might be an alternative substrate for hydrogenolysis. To explore this possibility, diacetylation of 2,6-dihydroxyacetophenone (**1**) (2.2



## **Scheme 1.**

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: 732-519-3899; fax: 732-519 1922; e-mail: [wensen.li@bms.com](mailto:wensen.li@bms.com)

<sup>0040-4039</sup>/02/\$ - see front matter © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII:  $S0040 - 4039(02)00157 - 0$ 

equiv. Ac<sub>2</sub>O, 2.3 equiv. Et<sub>3</sub>N, ambient temperature) gave an 80% yield of diacetate **9**, which was treated with bromine (1.0 equiv.  $Br_2$ ,  $CH_2Cl_2$ ,  $0^{\circ}C$ ) to give an

85:15 mixture of mono- and dibromide **10** and **11** (Scheme 2). The reaction product was crystallized from isopropanol to afford a 90% recovery of a 93:7 mixture,



**Scheme 2.**

Table 1. Conversion of bromoacetophenones to acetates by NaBH<sub>4</sub>

Entry	Bromoacetophenone <sup>a</sup>	Conditions	Product	Yield <sup>b</sup>
$\mathbf 1$	AcO O Щ Br OAc 10	NaBH <sub>4</sub> anhydrous EtOH $-15^{\circ}$ C, 45 minutes	OAc OAc 12	84%c
$\overline{2}$	AcO O Br CI 14 СI	NaBH <sub>4</sub> anhydrous EtOH $-15^{\circ}$ C, 40 minutes	OAc CI CI 15	98%
$\mathfrak{Z}$	AcO O Br 16 F	NaBH <sub>4</sub> anhydrous EtOH 0°C, 30 minutes	OAc F 17	81%
$\overline{4}$	AcO O Br Br. Br $18$	NaBH <sub>4</sub> anhydrous EtOH 0°C, 30 minutes	OAc Br Br 19	90%
5	AcO O Br OMe $20$	NaBH, anhydrous EtOH $0^{\circ}$ C, 30 minutes	OAc MeO 21	87%
6	AcO O Br CI 22	NaBH <sub>4</sub> anhydrous EtOH $0^{\circ}$ C, 30 minutes	OAc CI 23	93%

a) Preparation by bromination of corresponding acetophenones followed by column chromatographic purification (bromination is not selective; better conditions are being developed); b) Purified by column chromatography; c) Isolated by crystallization from EtOAc/heptane.

which was adequate for the subsequent transformation. Treatment of this mixture with  $N$ a $BH<sub>4</sub>$  in anhydrous EtOH at −15°C afforded a 94:6 mixture of diacetate **12** and the enol acetate **13**. Crystallization from EtOAc/ hexane gave an 85% yield of the desired diacetate **12**. We postulate that acyl migration<sup>3</sup> of the phenolacetate to the sodium alkoxide moiety of **10a** provides the phenoxide **10b**. Ring closure by nucleophilic displacement then leads to the observed diacetate **12**. Hydrogenolysis (10 wt% load of 5% Pd–C, MeOH,  $\sim$ 3 psi, ambient temperature) and subsequent saponification of the resulting phenolic acetate furnished the desired 4-hydroxy-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran (**8)** in 90% yield.

To demonstrate the scope and limitations of this method, a number of bromoacetophenones were prepared and subjected to the NaBH<sub>4</sub> reduction (Table 1).<sup>4</sup> Efficient acyl migration was found to be general, affording 3-acetoxydihydrobenzofurans in high yields. This methodology is applicable for those acetophenones with both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents.

## **Acknowledgements**

The authors thank Mr. John Venesky for spectroscopic assistance.

## **References**

- 1. Details of this work will be published elsewhere. (a) Bradsher, C. K.; Parham, W. E. *Acc*. *Chem*. *Res*. **1982**, 15, 300; (b) Bradsher, C. K.; Reames, D. C. *J*. *Org*. *Chem*. **1981**, 46, 1384; (c) Alabaster, R. J.; Cottrell, I. F.; Marley, H.; Wright, S. H. B. *Synthesis*, **1988**, 950; (d) Plotkin, M.; Chen, S.; Spoors, P. G. *Tetrahedron Lett*. **2000**, 41, 2269.
- 2. (a) Horton, W. J.; Paul, E. G. *J*. *Org*. *Chem*. **1959**, <sup>24</sup>, 2000; (b) Lin, Y.-Y.; Thom, E.; Liebman, A. A. *J*. *Heterocyclic Chem*. **1979**, 16, 799.
- 3. (a) Taub, D.; Hoffsommer, R. D.; Wendler, N. L. *J*. *Am*. *Chem*. *Soc*. **1959**, 81, 3291; (b) Wei-Shan, Z.; Hui-Quiang, Z.; Zhi-Qin, W. *J*. *Chem*. *Soc*., *Perkin Trans*. 1 **1990**, 2281; (c) Quazzani, J.; Buisson, D.; Azerad, R. *Tetrahedron Lett*. **1987**, 28, 1109.
- 4. Compound 12, colorless solid, mp 64-65°C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl_3)$   $\delta$  7.32 (t, 1H,  $J=6.2$  Hz), 6.85 (d, 1H,  $J=6.2$  Hz), 6.65 (d, 1H, *J*=6.2 Hz), 6.40 (dd, 1H, *J*=6.4 and 2.4 Hz), 4.66 (dd, 1H, *J*=11.2 and 6.4 Hz), 4.50 (dd, 1H, *J*=11.2 and 2.4 Hz), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H) ppm; 13C NMR  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub>)$   $\delta$  170.2, 168.1, 162.5, 147.9, 132.2, 117.1, 114.3, 108.3, 76.6, 71.5, 20.8 ppm. Compound 15: Slightly yellow solid, mp 75.5-77°C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.33 (d, 1H, *J*=2.2 Hz), 7.30 (d, 1H, *J*=2.2 Hz), 6.22 (dd, 1H, *J*=6.9, 2.5 Hz), 4.72 (dd, 1H, *J*=6.9 and 12.1 Hz), 4.63 (dd, 1H, *J*=2.7 and 12.1 Hz), 2.23 (s, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.0, 155.4, 130.8, 127.2, 131.7, 125.9, 125.8, 116.4, 77.2, 74.2, 21.6 ppm. Compound 17: Oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.15 (dd, 1H, *J*=7.9, 2.5 Hz), 6.98 (ddd, 1H, *J*=8.8, 2.4, and 2.5 Hz), 6.81 (dd, 1H, *J*=8.8, 3.9 Hz), 6.20 (dd, 1H, *J*=6.8, 2.4 Hz), 4.64 (dd, 1H, *J*=11.2, 6.8 Hz), 4.53 (dd, 1H, *J*=11.2, 2.4 Hz), 2.07 (s, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.2, 158.1 (d, *J*=149.1 Hz), 155.8, 125.3 (d, *J*=8.5 Hz), 117.8 (d, *J*=24.6 Hz), 113.5 (d, *J*=24.6 Hz), 110.8 (d, *J*=8.5 Hz), 76.8, 74.4, 21.7 ppm. Compound 19: off-white solid, mp 87.5-89°C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl3) 7.58 (d, 1H, *J*=2.0 Hz), 7.50 (d, 1H, *J*=2.0
	- Hz), 4.72 (dd, 1H, *J*=11.2, 6.8 Hz), 4.61 (dd, 1H, *J*=11.7, 2.4 Hz), 2.08 (s, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.0, 157.3, 136.1, 128.7, 127.3, 113.0, 104.2, 77.0, 74.3, 21.6 ppm.
	- Compound 21: Oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.98 (d, 1H, *J*=2.4 Hz), 6.86 (dd, 1H, *J*=2.4 and 8.8 Hz), 6.80 (d, 1H, *J*=8.8 Hz), 6.20 (dd, *J*=2.4 and 6.3 Hz), 4.59 (dd, 1H, *J*=6.3 and 11.7 Hz), 4.48 (dd, 1H, *J*=2.4 and 11.7 Hz), 3.76 (s, 3H), 2.07 (s, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.4, 154.8, 154.0, 124.7, 117.7, 111.2, 110.7, 76.5, 75.0, 56.5, 21.8 ppm.

Compound 23: Oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.41 (d, 1H, *J*=2.4 Hz), 7.23 (dd, 1H, *J*=2.4 and 8.8 Hz), 6.81 (d, 1H, *J*=8.8 Hz), 6.19 (dd, 1H, *J*=2.5 and 6.4 Hz), 4.63 (dd, 1H, *J*=6.4 and 11.7 Hz), 4.52 (dd, 1H, *J*=2.5 and 11.7 Hz), 2.07 (s, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.2, 159.3, 131.1, 126.6, 126.0, 125.6, 111.5, 76.8, 74.0, 21.7 ppm.